

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DARRELL PITCHFORD,

No C 10-0908 VRW

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v

SAFEWAY INC, a California
Corporation; REINO BELLIO, an
individual; UFCW LOCAL 101; DOES
1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

After plaintiff Darrell Pitchford failed to oppose motions to dismiss brought by defendants, Doc ##4 & 7, the court on May 27, 2010 issued an order for plaintiff to show cause why the above-captioned case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Doc #12. Plaintiff filed a reply on June 4, 2010, Doc #15, in which he attributes his failure to oppose defendants'

1 motions to his counsel's illness. Specifically, plaintiff's
2 counsel asserts:

3 Due to illness, which caused me financial problems, I have
4 become over whelmed [sic] with my work load and office
5 management. [] Failure to respond to these documents was
inadvertent and not an intentional delay tactic.

6 Doc #15 at 1 of 1.

7 In light of counsel's apparent illness, plaintiff is
8 ORDERED to file an opposition to defendants' motions to dismiss on
9 or before June 28, 2010. Failure to file an opposition will be
10 grounds to dismiss this action in its entirety.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.



13
14 VAUGHN R WALKER
United States District Chief Judge